

# Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Jul 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Current				Previously reported result	Target for 12/13	Comparative Data			
				Latest Result and RAG Status		Num	Denom			Direction of Travel (DoT)	Kent Published Outturn	National Average	Statistical Neighbour Average
											2010-11	2010-11	2010-11

## HOW MUCH ARE WE DEALING WITH ?

A1	Number of CAFs completed per 10,000 population under 18	H	Rolling 12 Months	62.0	R	1937	312597	↓	64.4	77.2			
A2	Number of Referrals per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	397.4	R	12422	312597	↓	430.4	543.7	722.8	556.8	584.1
A3	NI 68 - Percentage of Referrals going on to Initial Assessment	T	YTD	95.6%	R	3164	3308	↑	95.9%	69.5%	57.0%	72.0%	67.2%
A4	Number of Initial Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	378.4	A	11830	312597	↓	403.2	426.1	411.7	399.1	379.4
A5	Number of New & Updated Core Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	368.7	R	11524	312597	↑	383.8	236.0	216.9	167.3	139.5
A6	Number of S47 Investigations per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	152.9	R	4780	312597	↑	170.0	106.4	185.0	101.0	94.5
A7	Percentage of S47 Investigations proceeding to Initial CP Conference	T	YTD	30.8%	R	316	1027	↑	30.1%	44.5%			
A8	Number of Initial CP Conferences per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	41.2	G	1288	312597	↑	43.7	42.3	55.4	48.0	42.6
A9	Number of CIN per 10,000 population under 18 (includes CP and LAC)	T	Snapshot	277.6	G	8679	312597	↓	280.8	280.0	387.6	346.2	324.8
A10	Numbers of Children with a CP Plan per 10,000 population under 18	T	Snapshot	24.1	R	753	312597	↓	25.3	30.5	51.6	38.3	34.5
A11	Children looked after per 10,000 population aged under 18 (Excludes Asylum)	T	Snapshot	51.3	G	1605	312597	↑	52.0	47.5	54.0	59.0	50.7
A12	Number of Looked After Children with a CP plan.	L	Snapshot	28	G			↓	24	30			
A13	Numbers of Unallocated Cases for over 28 days (Business)	L	Snapshot	0	G			↑	2	0			

## HOW LONG IS IT TAKING US ?

B1	NI 59 - Percentage of IA's that were carried out within 7 working days of referral	H	YTD	87.4%	G	2766	3164	↑	85.9%	78.8%	54.0%	64.3%	57.4%
B2	Initial Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	Snapshot	18	G			↓	13	100			
B3	(NI 60) - Percentage of Core Assessments that were carried out within timescale	H	YTD	83.9%	G	2882	3436	↑	82.9%	83.2%	72.2%	75.1%	68.6%
B4	Core Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	Snapshot	43	G			↑	44	100			
B5	NI 67 - Child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	99.2%	G	528	532	↓	99.8%	98.0%	96.3%	97.1%	98.8%
B6	NI 66 - Looked after children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	97.9%	A	1670	1706	↑	97.9%	98.0%			

## HOW WELL ARE WE DOING IT ?

C1	Percentage of Case File Audits judged adequate or better	H	YTD	71.4%	R	257	360	↓	72.6%	85%			
C2	Percentage of open cases with Ethnicity recorded (excludes unborn)	H	Snapshot	99.2%	G	8462	8530	↑	98.9%	98%	92.3%	94.4%	91.6%
C3	Percentage of Children seen at Initial Assessment (excludes unborn/progress to strat)	H	YTD	86.8%	A	2196	2530	↓	87.2%	95%			
C4	Percentage of Children seen at Core Assessment (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	98.0%	G	3196	3260	↑	97.8%	95%			
C5	Percentage of Children seen at Section 47 enquiry (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	95.7%	G	930	972	↑	95.2%	95%			
C6	Percentage of CP Visits held within timescale (Current CP only)	H	Snapshot	82.5%	A	5527	6700	↑	81.0%	90%			
C7	Percentage of Looked After Children aged 5 to 16 with a Personal Education Plan (PEP)	H	Snapshot	91.1%	A	988	1084	↑	90.2%	95%			
C8	Participation at Looked After Children Reviews	H	YTD	94.6%	A	1285	1358	↓	95.3%	95%			
C9	Children subject to a CP Plan not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	Snapshot	0	G			→	0	0			

# Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Jul 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Current					Previously reported result	Target for 12/13	Comparative Data		
				Latest Result and RAG Status		Num	Denom	Direction of Travel (DoT)			Kent Published Outturn	National Average	Statistical Neighbour Average
											2010-11	2010-11	2010-11
C10	Looked After Children not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	Snapshot	0	G			↑	3	0			

## ARE WE ACHIEVING GOOD OUTCOMES ?

D1	Percentage of referrals with a previous referral within 12 months	L	YTD	24.7%	G	818	3308	↑	25.0%	25.8%		25.6%	24.4%
D2	NI 65 - Percentage of children becoming the subject of a CP Plan for a second or subsequent time	T	YTD	26.5%	R	76	287	↓	25.8%	13.4%	14.5%	13.3%	13.4%
D3	NI 64 - Child Protection Plans lasting 2 years or more at the point of de-registration	L	YTD	8.3%	A	40	484	↑	10.1%	6.0%	11.3%	6.0%	5.8%
D4	Percentage of Current CP Plans lasting 18 months or more	L	Snapshot	12.9%	A	97	753	↑	13.3%	10.0%			
D5	NI 62 - LAC Placement Stability: 3 or more placements in the last 12 months	L	Snapshot	10.3%	A	186	1811	↑	10.6%	8.1%	8.0%	10.7%	10.4%
D6	NI 63 - LAC Placement Stability: Same placement for last 2 years	H	Snapshot	72.2%	A	311	431	↑	71.9%	75.7%	71.5%	68.6%	67.1%
D7	Percentage of Looked After Children in Foster Care currently placed within 10 miles from home	H	Snapshot	62.2%	A	729	1172	↑	61.3%	65%			
D8	LAC Dental Checks held within required timescale	H	Snapshot	87.5%	A	1397	1596	↑	87.0%	90.0%	62.7%	83.3%	76.3%
D9	LAC Health assessments held within required timescale	H	Snapshot	90.6%	G	1446	1596	↑	89.1%	90.0%	62.7%	83.3%	76.3%
D10	Percentage of Looked After Children placed for adoption within 12 months of agency decision	H	YTD	78.8%	A	26	33	↓	79.3%	85.0%			
D11	Percentage of Children leaving care who were adopted	H	YTD	11.5%	A	31	270	↓	11.9%	13%	8%	11%	11.2%
D12	Percentage of Children leaving care who were made subject to a SGO	H	YTD	4.8%	A	13	270	↑	4.5%	6.3%			

## ARE WE SUPPORTING OUR STAFF ?

E1	Percentage of caseholding posts unfilled (100% - QSW inc Agency Posts)	L	Snapshot	2.2%	G			↑	-0.3%	10%			
E2	Percentage of caseholding posts filled by agency staff (Agency Staff ÷ Establishment)	L	Snapshot	14.9%	A	64.4	431.7	↓	14.7%	10%			
E3	Percentage of caseholding posts filled by Qualified Social Workers (QSW posts exc Agency ÷ Establishment)	H	Snapshot	82.9%	A	358.0	431.7	↓	85.6%	90%			
E4	Average Caseloads of social workers in fieldwork teams	L	Snapshot	19.9	G	422.4	8420	↓	19.8	20			

## PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

As at 31/07/2012, Kent, inc UASC has 19 indicators rated as Green, 17 indicators rated as Amber and 9 indicators rated as Red. When comparing performance from last month to this month, 27 indicators have shown an improvement, 1 indicator has remained the same and 17 indicators have shown a reduction.